arXiv:1402.5058v1 [physics.gen-ph] 24 Dec 2013

A SIMPLE SOLUTION OF THE PROTON SPIN CRISIS

Vladan Panković,
Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, 21000 Novi Sad,
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, Serbia,
vladan.pankovic@df.uns.ac.rs

PACS number: 14.20Dh, 14.40.± n

Abstract

In this work we suggest a simple theoretical model of the proton able to effectively solve proton spin crisis. Within domain of applicability of this simple model proton consists only of two u quarks and one d quarks (two of which have spin opposite to proton and one identical to proton) and one neutral vector phi meson (with spin two times larger than proton spin and directed identically to proton spin). This model is in full agreement not only with existing DIS experiments, but also with spin and electric charge conservation as well as in a satisfactory agreement with rest mass-energy conservation (since phi meson mass is close to proton rest mass). Our model opens an interesting possibility of the solution of the quarks and leptons families problem (proton is not an absolutely non-strange particle, but only a particle with almost totally effectively hidden strange).

In this work we shall suggest a simple theoretical model of the proton able to effectively solve proton spin crisis. Within domain of applicability of this simple model proton p consists only of two u quarks and one d quarks (two of which have spin opposite to proton and one identical to proton) and one neutral vector phi meson ϕ (with spin two times larger than proton spin and directed identically to proton spin). This model is in full agreement not only with existing deep inelastic scattering, DIS, experiments, but also with spin and electric charge conservation as well as in a satisfactory agreement with rest mass-energy conservation (since phi meson mass is close to proton rest mass). Our model opens an interesting possibility of the solution of the quarks and leptons families problem (proton is not an absolutely non-strange particle, but only a particle with almost totally effectively hidden strange). We paraphrase, "all lucky families are lucky in the unique way".

Consider a proton, p, in such simplified way, in which it consists of only three (interacting) sub-systems, two up quarks, u and u, and one down quark d (gluons and other proton constituents will not be considered here explicitly).

Further, suppose that on so-described p only experiment of deep inelastic scattering DIS (with muons and similar systems) [1]-[4] can be made. This experiment can be considered as the sub-systemic measurement of spin at one (of the three possible proton quarks).

In mentioned experiment proton is initially (before scattering, i.e. measurement) prepared in eigen spin state in appropriate direction $|\frac{1}{2}>$ with corresponding spin value $\frac{1}{2}$ (in the reduced Planck constant units).

According to introduced suppositions we can theoretically considered the following proton initial (before measurement) spin state

$$|p3>=(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|\frac{1}{2}>_1|\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3+(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|\frac{1}{2}>_1|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3+(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|-\frac{1}{2}>_1|\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3 \quad (1)$$

where $|\pm\frac{1}{2}>_j$ can be considered as the eigen state of spin in the same direction of the proton spin with corresponding eigen values $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ for subsystem $j=1,2,3$. Subsystem $j=1,2,3$, can be, in principle, any of two u quarks or d quark

Finally, suppose that mentioned DIS subsystemic spin measurement will be always realized at the 1 subsystem. Since state (1) is symmetric in respect to permutation of the index j values introduced supposition does not mean any restriction on the real DIS experiments.

Suppose now that at proton spin state (1), instead of mentioned subsystemic spin measurement on 1 only, subsystemic measurements of spin at all 1, 2 and 3 are realized simultaneously. Then, after these measurements, with the same probabilities $\frac{1}{3}$, proton spin state (1) turns out either in $|\frac{1}{2}>_1|\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3$ or in $|\frac{1}{2}>_1|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3$ or in $|-\frac{1}{2}>_1|\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3$. In any of these states proton spin has the same value $\frac{1}{2}$ on the one hand, and, on the other hand two quarks have the same spin as the proton while one quark has spin opposite to proton.

But in DIS there is principally different situation (discussion of this subject but without explicit mathematical formalism is given in [5]). Before mentioned DIS subsystemic measurement proton spin state (1) must be transformed in the following expression

$$|p3>=(\frac{2}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|\frac{1}{2}>_1[(\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3+|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3)]+(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|-\frac{1}{2}>_1|\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3 . \quad (2)$$

It implies that after DIS measurement, with probability $\frac{2}{3}\simeq 0.66$, measured quark, 1, are described by spin eigen state $|\frac{1}{2}>_1$ with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ equivalent to proton spin. Simultaneously two other quarks, 2 and 3, do a complex system $2+3$ (that does not admit any separation in 2 and 3) described by entangled quantum state $[(\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3+|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3)]$ with zero spin even if neither 2 nor 3 is described by any spin eigen state. On the other hand, after DIS measurement, with probability $\frac{1}{3}\simeq 0.33$, measured quark, 1, is described by spin eigen state $|-\frac{1}{2}>_1$ with spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ opposite to proton spin. Simultaneously, two other quarks, 2 and 3, are described by spin eigen states $|\frac{1}{2}>_2$ and $|\frac{1}{2}>_3$ with spins $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ both equivalent to proton spin.

All this represents an interesting result. Namely in real DIS experiments it is obtained that with probability about 0.66 measured quark has spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ opposite to proton spin, while with probability about 0.33 measured quark has spin $\frac{1}{2}$ equivalent to proton spin, what is in some way "reciprocal" to previous theoretical predictions based on (2).

Now we shall suggest the simplest generalization of the mentioned theoretical description of the proton spin state which would satisfy real DIS experiments.

Suppose now that proton, more accurately speaking, consists of four subsystems, three previously mentioned quarks and an additional forth subsystem 4 whose characteristics will be determined later.

According to introduced supposition we can theoretically considered the following proton spin state before measurement

$$|p4>=(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|-\frac{1}{2}>_1|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3|1>_4+(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|-\frac{1}{2}>_1|\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3|1>_4+ \quad (3)$$

$$+(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|\frac{1}{2}>_1|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3|1>_4=$$

$$=((\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|-\frac{1}{2}>_1|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3+(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|-\frac{1}{2}>_1|\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3+$$

$$+(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|\frac{1}{2}>_1|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3)|1>_4$$

where $|1>_4$ can be considered as the eigen state of the spin of subsystem 4 in the same direction of the proton spin with corresponding spin eigen value 1. Then, obviously, total spin corresponding to any superposition term equals $2(-\frac{1}{2})+\frac{1}{2}+1=\frac{1}{2}$ so that it is equal to the proton spin. In this way there is spin conservation.

Further, suppose quite naturally that electrical charge is conserved too. It implies that 4 is electrically neutral, i.e. that its electrical charge equals 0. Then, obviously, charge of the proton is simply sum of the charges of two u and one d quark, i.e. $2(\frac{2}{3})-\frac{1}{3}=1$ (in elementary electrical charge e unit system).

Now, since it is supposed that according to spin and electrical charge conservation law subsystem 4 has spin 1 and 0 electrical charge it is not hard to see that such 4 subsystem would be a neutral vector meson. It can be supposed that this meson is ϕ meson since its rest mass that equals about 1 020 MeV closest (in respect to mass of all other neutral vector mesons) to proton mass that equals about 938 MeV.

As it is well known common rest mass of two u and one d quark equals only about 11 MeV what is about 1 percent of the proton rest mass. For this reason it is usually supposed that proton mass is dominantly result of the dynamical interaction between quarks via gluons even if such prediction is very hard for exact calculations. (It implies too that proton spin crisis must be solved via introduction of the angular momentum of the quarks and gluons what is also very hard experimentally verified). However, if it is supposed that proton effectively, i.e. in a satisfactory approximation, consists of two up, one down quark and phi meson, then it represents an almost "static" supersystem.

Thus, in our model of the proton, before mentioned DIS subsystemic measurement proton spin state (3) must be transformed in the following expression

$$|p4>=(\frac{2}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}[|-\frac{1}{2}>_1[(\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}(|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3+|\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3)]|1>_4+ \quad (4)$$

$$+(\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}|\frac{1}{2}>_1|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3|1>_4$$

It is very important to be pointed out that subsystem 4, i.e. phi meson, in (4) is non-entangled with any of quarks 1, 2 or 3. on the other hand since 4 is electrically neutral it is practically unobservable for DIS experiment.

All this implies that after DIS measurement, with probability $\frac{2}{3}\simeq 0.66$, measured quark, 1, is described by spin eigen state $|-\frac{1}{2}>_1$ with spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ opposite to proton spin. Simultaneously

two other quarks, 2 and 3, do a complex system 2+3 (that does not admit any separation in 2 and 3) described by entangled quantum state $[\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|-\frac{1}{2}>_2|\frac{1}{2}>_3+|\frac{1}{2}>_2|-\frac{1}{2}>_3)]$ with zero spin even if neither 2 nor 3 is described by any spin eigen state. Finally phi meson as subsystem 4 is simultaneously described by spin eigen state $|1>$ with spin 1. On the other hand, after DIS measurement, with probability $\frac{1}{3} \simeq 0.33$, measured quark, 1, is described by spin eigen state $|\frac{1}{2}>_1$ with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ equivalent to proton spin. Simultaneously, two other quarks, 2 and 3, are described by spin eigen states $|-\frac{1}{2}>_2$ and $|-\frac{1}{2}>_3$ with spins $-\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ both opposite to proton spin. Finally phi meson as subsystem 4 is simulatenously again described by spin eigen state $|1>$ with spin 1. Obviously, mentioned predictions are in an excellent agreement with real DIS experiments.

Thus, suggested structure of the proton as a supersystem of two u quarks, one d quark and one phi vector meson can effectively solve proton spin crisis, but also it opens other interesting possibility. Namely, as it is well-known, phi meson consists of one strange quark s and one anti strange quark $\bar{s}$. In this way phi meson represents a system with almost totally effectively hidden strange flavor. So, if phi meson must necessary be introduced in the minimal proton structure necessary for a correct description of the proton spin, it would mean that proton is not an exactly nonstrange, but only almost totally effectively hidden strange particle. It opens a new door for solution of the old unsolved problem of the meaning of the quark and lepton families. We paraphrase, "all lucky families are lucky at the unit way".

In conclusion we can only repeat and point out the following. In this work we suggest a simple theoretical model of the proton able to effectively solve proton spin crisis. Within domain of applicability of this simple model proton consists only of two u quarks and one d quarks (two of which have spin opposite to proton and one identical to proton) and one neutral vector phi meson (with spin two times larger than proton spin and directed identically to proton spin). This model is in full agreement not only with existing DIS experiments, but also with spin and electric charge conservation as well as in a satisfactory agreement with rest mass-energy conservation (since phi meson mass is close to proton rest mass). Our model opens an interesting possibility of the solution of the quarks and leptons families problem (proton is not an absolutely non-strange particle, but only a particle with almost totally effectively hidden strange).

References

[1] A. Ashman *et al*, Phys. Lett. B **206** (1988) 394

[2] E. S. Ageev *et al*, Phys. Lett. B **612** (2005) 154 ; hep-ex/0501073

[3] V. I. Alexakhin *et al*, Phys. Lett. B **647** (2005) 8 ; hep-ex/0501073

[4] A. Airapetian *et al*, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 012007 ; hep-ex/0609.039

[5] J. Hansson, Progress in Physics **3** (2010) 52 ; hep-ph/0304.225